

## COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA THE JOHN A. WILSON BUILDING 1350 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004

CHRISTINA HENDERSON Councilmember, At-Large

## **Committee Member**

Government Operations and Facilities Health Labor and Workforce Development Transportation and the Environment

## Statement of Introduction for the Automated Traffic Enforcement Effectiveness Amendment Act of 2022 September 19, 2022

Today, I am introducing the Automated Traffic Enforcement Effectiveness Amendment Act of 2022, along with Councilmembers Brianne K. Nadeau, Elissa Silverman, Brooke Pinto, and Charles Allen.

For most Americans, driving is a part of daily life. Drivers share the road with pedestrians, bicyclists, other drivers, and communities writ large, and all are bound by trust that others will obey the laws that govern road use. One person who breaks that trust by violating traffic laws can cause immense harm and suffering for communities, wreak havoc on infrastructure, and depress feelings of security and civic pride. In the District, the effects of traffic violence are concentrated in the eastern side of the city. In 2020 and 2021, just less than half of all traffic deaths in the District occurred in Wards 7 and 8. Vision Zero, launched in 2015 with the goal of eliminating traffic fatalities by 2024, has not made the progress necessary to achieve that goal. Traffic violence killed 37 people in 2021, a 10-year high. There are also untold numbers of accidents and near misses. While the District continues to work toward the goal of eliminating traffic fatalities by reducing speed limits, installing protected bike lanes, installing speed humps and curb bump-outs, and narrowing traffic lanes, it is equally important to sharpen the tools at our disposal that address the main issue—drivers who violate traffic safety laws.

The District's traffic safety enforcement regime is unique. Unlike most other jurisdictions in the United States, the District has largely withdrawn from its reliance on police officers to enforce traffic laws. Police generally intervene in situations in which drivers in the District are egregiously violating traffic safety laws and pose a clear and immediate danger to themselves and others. Currently, fines and points on a driving record are assigned for moving violations when the violation is recorded by a police officer. Only fines are assessed for moving violations captured by automated traffic enforcement ("ATE") systems, which are cameras installed by the District Department of Transportation ("DDOT") to enforce traffic laws and reduce traffic violations on roadways. In the District, ATE systems capture three types of moving violations: speeding more than 11 miles over the speed limit, running red lights, and running stop signs. Fines have not proven to be an effective enforcement mechanism, as there are several instances of drivers accumulating thousands and thousands of dollars in fines for moving violations to no consequence.

The Automated Traffic Enforcement Effectiveness Amendment Act of 2022 revises Title 18 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations ("DCMR") to assess 1 point against the driving record of an individual for each moving violation detected by an automated traffic enforcement ("ATE") system and to assess one additional point to those assessed for a moving violation if the moving



## COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA THE JOHN A. WILSON BUILDING 1350 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004

violation occurs in a school zone. The first moving violation detected by an ATE system in a two year period will be waived. The legislation also amends the Fiscal Year 1997 Budget Support Act of 1996 to create a rebuttable presumption that the owner of a vehicle was its operator at the time a moving violation is detected by an ATE system. Further, the bill also amends the District of Columbia Traffic Adjudication Act of 1978 to permit a deemed admission of a violation detected by an ATE system to be vacated upon a showing of sufficient evidence that the owner of a vehicle was not its operator. To encourage compliance of traffic laws using private market tools, especially for drivers with out-of-state tags, the bill requires the Director of the DMV to biannually send a report containing the motor vehicle record of drivers who acquire five moving violation infractions in the District to the drivers' respective automobile insurance company. Finally, this bill amends the District of Columbia Traffic Act of 1925 to require the towing or immobilization of a parked vehicle with five or more unpaid moving violations; and to require the towing or immobilization of a parked vehicle displaying counterfeit, stolen, or otherwise fraudulent temporary identification tags.

The bill also amends the DCMR to formalize an existing DMV program which allows the Director to waive assessed points upon the driver's completion of a traffic safety course. The Director may waive assessed points depending on the driving record of the individual, the seriousness of the moving violation, and may waive points under this rule no more than one time each year.

The District has been intentionally incorporating more ATE systems across the city. DDOT carefully assesses the need for ATE systems after receiving complaints from the community through 311 requests and emails, from MPD, after conducting engineering and safety studies field visits, and through the fatal crash review process. Prior to installing an ATE system, DDOT conducts a thorough screening process, which includes an existing conditions review, crash data review, speed data review, and site visits at peak hours. DDOT then monitors drivers' compliance with traffic laws following the installation, and if enough drivers comply with traffic laws, DDOT may move the camera to another location that has been assessed. DDOT prioritizes ATE system placement in alignment with these principals, and will install additional systems throughout the city in the upcoming year to enforce traffic laws.

This legislation would set the District on the path to be a leader in traffic enforcement systems that do not rely on law enforcement. It creates reasonable accommodations for people who make mistakes and structures consequences in the public and private spheres for serial violators. Speeding and running red lights and stop signs threatens the livability of our neighborhoods and compromises the safety of children, pedestrians, bicyclists, and other drivers. The District's reliance on fines as penalties is not and cannot be the only option at our disposal. Traffic violence must end, and we must use and hone all available tools to meet this crisis.

1	Burnne V Nadeau		Christia Lederson
2	Councilmember Brianne K. Nadeau		Councilmember Christina Henderson
3 4 5 6	Councilmember Elissa Silverman		Councilmember Brooke Pinto
7 8 9 10	Councilmember Charles Allen		
11 12		A BILL	
13 14 15			
16 17	IN THE COUNCIL	L OF THE DISTRIC	T OF COLUMBIA
18 19 20			-
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	driving record of an individual violation occurring in a design Department of Motor Vehicles approves the driver's petition to Year 1997 Budget Support Act of a vehicle operated the vehicle traffic enforcement system; to of 1978 to permit a deemed ad enforcement system to be vacated of a vehicle was not its operated Motor Vehicles to biannually sacquire 5 or more moving viol preceding 6 months; and to amount the towing or immobilization of violations or displaying counter identification tags.	by an automated traft, to add an additional ated school zone, and to waive points from to complete a traffic sto of 1996 to create a sile at the time of a violation at the District of mission of a violation at the time, to requise a report to insuration infractions in the perfect of Coff a parked vehicle werfeit, stolen, or other	If ic enforcement system against the l point to those assessed for a moving d to permit the Director of the madriving record if the Director safety course; to amend the Fiscal rebuttable presumption that the owner plation detected by an automated of Columbia Traffic Adjudication Act and detected by an automated traffic of sufficient evidence that the owner wire the Director of the Department of the detected by an automated traffic of sufficient evidence that the owner wire the Director of the Department of the Director of Columbia within the columbia Traffic Act of 1925 to require with 5 or more unpaid moving
42	act may be cited as the "Automated Tr	raffic Enforcement E	Effectiveness Amendment Act of
43	2022.".		

14	Sec. 2. Section 303 of Title 18 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations (18
45	DCMR § 303) is amended as follows:
46	(a) Subsection 303.2 is amended by inserting new paragraph (ii) to read as follows:
<b>1</b> 7	"(ii) Violations detected by an automated traffic enforcement system.
48	point".
19	(b) New subsections 303.18 and 303.19 are added to read as follows:
50	"303.18 The Director shall assess one additional point for any moving violation that
51	occurs within a designated school zone.".
52	"303.19 The Director may waive points assessed for moving violations to a driving
53	record if, when contesting a ticket that assigns points to their driving record for a traffic violation
54	assessed pursuant to the schedule in § 303.2, a licensee requests that the points be waived
55	following completion of a traffic safety course approved by the Director. The Director shall
56	consider the driving record of the licensee and the seriousness of the violation in determining the
57	licensee's eligibility to waive the respective points. If the licensee is deemed eligible for this
58	option, the Director shall waive the points upon the licensee paying the ticket fine for the traffic
59	violation and the Director confirming receipt of documentation certifying that the licensee
50	successfully completed the course. The Director shall not waive points more than one (1) time in
61	twelve (12) months.".
52	Sec. 3. Title IX of the Fiscal Year 1997 Budget Support Act of 1996, effective April 9,
63	1997 (D.C. Law 11-198; D.C. Official Code § 50-2209.01 et seq.), is amended as follows:
54	(a) Section 901 (D.C. Official Code § 50-2209.01) is amended by adding a new
55	subsection (d) to read as follows:

"(d) Notwithstanding subsection (c) of this section, an individual's driver's license or
privilege to operate a motor vehicle in the District may be suspended or revoked for a violation
detected by an automated traffic enforcement system if the subsequent assessment of traffic
points pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 18 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations
compels suspension or revocation.".
(b) Section 902 (D.C. Official Code § 50-2209.02) is amended as follows:
(1) A new subsection (a-1) is added to read as follows:
"(a-1)(1) For purposes of the assessment of traffic points against an individual's driving
record pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 18 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations, the
owner of a motor vehicle issued a notice of infraction for a violation recorded by an automated
traffic enforcement system shall be presumed to have been the operator of the vehicle at the time
of the violation. If one vehicle is registered to two individuals, the individuals shall register as
primary and secondary registrants, and the primary registrant shall be presumed to be the
operator of the vehicle at the time of the violation. Such presumptions may be rebutted by the
presentation of sufficient evidence in the answer to the notice of infraction or at a hearing
requested pursuant to subsection (c) of this section.
"(2) Traffic points shall not be assessed against the operator of a vehicle for the
first violation detected by an automated traffic enforcement system in a two year period.".
(2) Subsection (b) is amended as follows:
(A) The existing text is redesignated as paragraph (1).
(B) A new paragraph (2) is added to read as follows:
"(2) The Mayor shall include with the notice of infraction:

88	"(A) A warning that violations detected by an automated traffic
89	enforcement system and admitted by the operator, or established after a hearing held pursuant to
90	section 206 of the District of Columbia Traffic Adjudication Act of 1978, effective September
91	12, 1978 (D.C. Law 2-104; D.C. Official Code § 50-2302.06), may result in the assessment of
92	traffic points against the operator's driver's license; and
93	"(B) A copy of the point schedule set forth in 18 DCMR § 303.2
94	conveying the traffic points assessable for various violations detectable by automated traffic
95	enforcement systems.".
96	(3) Subsection (c) is amended to read as follows:
97	"(c) An owner or operator who receives a citation may request a hearing which shall be
98	adjudicated pursuant to Title II of the District of Columbia Traffic Adjudication Act of 1978,
99	effective September 12, 1978 (D.C. Law 2-104; D.C. Official Code § 50-2302.01 et seq.).".
100	Sec. 4. The District of Columbia Traffic Adjudication Act of 1978, effective September
101	12, 1978 (D.C. Law 2-104; D.C. Official Code § 50-2301.01 et seq.), is amended as follows:
102	(a) Section 109 (D.C. Official Code § 50-2301.09) is amended by adding a new
103	subsection (c) to read as follows:
104	"(c) Twice yearly, the Director shall send a report to the insurers of motor vehicle owners
105	who have 5 or more outstanding moving violation infractions accumulated in the District of
106	Columbia over a 6-month period a copy of the owner's record.".
107	(b) Section 205(i)(3) (D.C. Official Code § 50-2302.05(i)(3)) is amended to read as
108	follows:
109	"(3) If the infraction underlying a deemed admission pursuant to subsection (e) of
110	this section involves a violation detected by an automated traffic enforcement system pursuant to

111	section 901 of the Fiscal Year 1997 Budget Support Act of 1996, effective April 9, 1997 (D.C.
112	Law 11-198; D.C. Official Code § 50-2209.01), the deemed admission may be vacated if the
113	Department receives a written application by mail or through the Department's website within 60
114	days of the date of admission if the application provides sufficient evidence that the respondent:
115	"(A) Was not the owner or lessee of the cited vehicle at the time of the
116	infraction;
117	"(B) Reported that the registration plates were stolen from the cited
118	vehicle at the time of the infraction;
119	"(C) Reported that the cited vehicle was stolen at the time of the
120	infraction; or
121	"(D) Was not the operator of the car at the time of the infraction.".
122	Sec. 5. Section 6(k)(1) of the District of Columbia Traffic Act, 1925, approved March 3,
123	1925 (43 Stat. 1121; D.C. Official Code § 50-2201.03(k)(1)), is amended to read as follows:
124	"(k)(1)(A) Any unattended motor vehicle found parked at any time upon any public
125	highway of the District against which there are 2 or more unpaid notices of infraction or vehicle
126	conveyance fees that the owner was deemed to have admitted or that were established after a
127	hearing, pursuant to sections 305 or 306 of the District of Columbia Traffic Adjudication Act of
128	1978, effective September 12, 1978 (D.C. Law 2-104; D.C. Official Code §§ 50-2302.05,
129	2303.06), or section 902 of the Fiscal Year 1997 Budget Support Act of 1996, effective April 9,
130	1997 (D.C. Law 11-198; D.C. Official Code § 50-2209.02), or against which there have been
131	issued 2 or more warrants may, by or under the direction of a law enforcement officer, member
132	of the Metropolitan Police Department or the United States Park Police, or an employee of the
133	Department of Transportation or Department of Public Works, either by towing or otherwise, be

removed or conveyed to and impounded in any place designated by the Mayor or immobilized in such manner as to prevent its operation.

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

"(B) Notwithstanding subparagraph (A) of this paragraph, any unattended motor vehicle found parked at any time upon any public highway of the District against which there are 5 or more unpaid notices of infraction for moving violations adjudicable pursuant to Title II of the District of Columbia Traffic Adjudication Act of 1978, effective September 12, 1978 (D.C. Law 2-104; D.C. Official Code § 50-2302.01 et seq.), that the owner was deemed to have admitted or that were established after a hearing, pursuant to sections 205 or 206 of the District of Columbia Traffic Adjudication Act of 1978, effective September 12, 1978 (D.C. Law 2-104; D.C. Official Code §§ 50-2302.05, 2303.06), or section 902 of the Fiscal Year 1997 Budget Support Act of 1996, effective April 9, 1997 (D.C. Law 11-198; D.C. Official Code § 50-2209.02), or against which there have been issued 5 or more warrants shall, by or under the direction of a law enforcement officer, member of the Metropolitan Police Department or the United States Park Police, or an employee of the Department of Transportation or Department of Public Works, either by towing or otherwise, be removed or conveyed to and impounded in any place designated by the Mayor or immobilized in such manner as to prevent its operation. "(C) In addition to any penalties imposed pursuant to section 4 of the District of Columbia Revenue Act of 1937, approved August 17, 1937 (50 Stat. 680; D.C. Official Code § 50-1501.04), any unattended motor vehicle found parked at any time upon any public highway of the District of Columbia displaying counterfeit, stolen, or otherwise fraudulent temporary identification tags shall, by or under the direction of a law enforcement officer, member of the Metropolitan Police Department or the United States Park Police, or an employee

of the Department of Transportation or Department of Public Works, either by towing or

otherwise, be removed or conveyed to and impounded in any place designated by the Mayor or immobilized in such manner as to prevent its operation.

(D) No vehicle shall be immobilized pursuant to subparagraphs (A)

through (C) of this paragraph by any means other than by the use of a device or other mechanism that will cause no damage to such vehicle unless it is moved while such device or mechanism is in place.

"(E) The Mayor shall establish communications systems within and between agencies with the ability to identify vehicles eligible for towing or immobilization under sub-paragraphs (A) through (C) of this paragraph, and provide for automated alerts to agencies with towing or immobilization equipment of the need to respond."

Sec. 6. Applicability date.

The provisions of this Act shall apply as of June 1, 2023.

Sec. 7. Fiscal impact statement.

The Council adopts the fiscal impact statement in the committee report as the fiscal impact statement required by section 602(c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(3)).

Sec. 8. Effective date.

This act shall take effect following approval by the Mayor (or in the event of veto by the Mayor, action by the Council to override the veto), a 30-day period of congressional review as provided in section 602(c)(1) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(1)), and publication in the District of Columbia Register.